

A Path Forward for the Bay Program **Beyond 2025**

0 Z

1980

May 2024 Meeting Frostburg, MĎ

Anna Killius **Executive Director** Chesapeake Bay Commission

The Chesapeake Bay Program: Who we are & what we do

Chesapeake Bay Program



Chesapeake Bay Agreements

40 Years of Refining and Amending

- 1983 First Agreement (signed)
- 1987 Revised Agreement (signed)
- 1992 Agreement Amendments (signed)
- 2000 Chesapeake 2000 Agreement (signed)
- 2014 Chesapeake Watershed Agreement (signed)





2014 Chesapeake Bay Watershed Agreement

1 Vision, 10 Goals, 31 Outcomes



Each goal included between one and five outcomes. While the goals focus on the big picture, the outcomes are specific, measurable targets that contribute to achieving each goal.

Why Beyond 2025?

Outcome Attainment as of 2023

- At least 11 have timebound targets for 2025
- Two had pre-2025 deadlines
- Emerging challenges and change
- New science and learnings





Blue Crab Abundance Outcome	Wetlands Outcome
Blue Crab Management Outcome (Completed)	Black Duck Outcome
Oysters Outcome	Brook Trout Outcome
Forage Fish Outcome	Submerged Aquatic Vegetation Outcome
Fish Habitat Outcome	Forest Buffers Outcome
Stream Health Outcome	Tree Canopy Outcome
2017 Watershed Implementation Plans (WIPs) Outcome (Complete)	2025 Watershed Implementation Plans (WIPS) Outcome
Fish Passage Outcome	Diversity Outcome
Water Quality Standards Attainment and Monitoring Outcome	Toxic Contaminants Policy and Prevention Outcome
Local Leadership Outcome	Toxic Contaminants Research Outcome
Protected Lands Outcome	Students Outcome
Land Use Methods and Metrics Development Outcome	Climate Adaptation Outcome
Land Use Options and Evaluations Outcome	
Public Access Outcome	Healthy Watershed Outcome
Sustainable Schools Outcome	Stewardship Outcome
Environmental Literacy Planning Outcome	
Climate Monitoring and Assessment Outcome	

2022 Executive Council Charge

Thus, this Executive Council charges the Principals' Staff Committee (PSC) in recommending a critical path forward that prioritizes and outlines the next steps for meeting the goals and outcomes of the Watershed Agreement leading up to and beyond 2025. The PSC is to report back to the Executive Council at our 2023 annual meeting with recommendations on how to best address and integrate new science and restoration strategies leading up to 2025. At our 2024 annual meeting, the PSC is to prepare recommendations that continue to address new advances in science and restoration, along with a focus on our partnership for going beyond 2025.

Two-Phased Approach



Steering Committee proposes scope and breadth of plan

After EC2024 Bay Program implements plan



Chesapeake Bay Program

Science. Restoration. Partnership.

Phase 1: Product

Where we are	 Summary of where we are with the 2014 Watershed Agreement Comprehensive Evaluation of System Response, Charting a Course to 2025, Chesapeake Progress: Outcome Attainability , and more
Where do we want to be	Vision
What we do	 Impact assessment The right outcomes for our goals and the right goals for our vision Our Partnership's ability to positively impact each goal and outcome
How we work	 Assessment of our overall partnership Partnership Structure Governance and Adaptive Management
Path Forward	Recommendation on what to do with the Watershed Agreement post-2025 Recommendations on the Partnership



Phase 1: Timeline 📃

		Jan	uan	Y		Feb	ruar	у		Ma	rch	1		A	pril				May	1			Ju	ne	
Weel	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4
Small Group Recommendations		D	liscu	iss &	Dr	aft		28/29	1-	page	ers	28													
ERG Evaluation Plan	C	onte	ent A	naly	sis	Dis	cuss	ions	; Ir	nteri	m D	raft	Rep	ort	19	25		[)raft	t Fin	al R	epo	rt		27
Steering Committee Draft													D	rafti	ing	25		Dra	fting	3	30	Dr	rafti	ing	27
Public Feedback																									
Approval Process																									25

			July	1		August					epte	mbe	er	October						love	mb	D	mb	er		
Week	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
Small Group Recommendations																										
ERG Evaluation Plan																										
Steering Committee Draft					25					R	evis	е	25													
Public Feedback		Dep	end	ent o	on D	Draft Release			е																	Γ
Approval Process			М	B/P	SCI	Revi	iew					17		{	MB?		MB?	PSC						2		Т

Why a Recommendation on the Watershed Agreement?

What does the EC Charge say?

- this EC charges the PSC in recommending a critical path forward that prioritizes and outlines the next steps for meeting the goals and outcomes of the Watershed Agreement leading up to and beyond 2025
 - Identify new and emerging scientific data and studies which could modify our progress reporting and adaptive management approach, as well as the goals and outcomes under the Watershed Agreement.
 - Define the existing and emerging challenges (e.g., climate change conditions, increasing growth, diversity, equity, inclusion and justice considerations) to accomplishing the partnership's work under the Watershed Agreement, and how addressing those challenges might alter our collective restoration priorities, including the possibility of extending the target date for completing restoration of water quality beyond 2025.

Core Guides for the Partnership

GOALS:2014 Chesapeake Bay Watershed AgreementThe written agreement of goals and outcomes guiding
our efforts to achieve a healthy estuary and watershed

STRATEGIES: Strategy Review System The process we use to adaptively manage our work to achieve our outcomes

GOVERNANCE: Governance and Management Framework The organizational function and governance of the Chesapeake Bay Program

Agreement-related Findings from Small Groups and ERG

- Develop a logic model that connects goals, outcomes, and activities/outputs based on a theory of change.
- Ensure all goals and outcomes <u>benefit the people</u> of the watershed.
- Refine goals and outcomes to <u>adapt to the future condition</u> of the Bay watershed.
- <u>Consolidate/combine goals or outcomes</u> to improve focus, efficiency, and comprehensive planning.
- Refine outcomes in need of <u>more specificity or depth</u>, like *Diversity*, *Land Conservation*, and *Public Access*.
- <u>Refresh time horizons</u> to meet the Chesapeake Bay Total Maximum Daily Load, consider phased approach across the TMDL's 92 tidal segments, and use monitoring and modeling when tracking progress.
- **Consider <u>additional Signatories</u>: Agencies, NGOs, Local Governments, Tribes.
- **Consider <u>new outcomes</u>: soil health, regenerative agriculture, and scale adaptation.

****Stickiest proposals**

Discussion: Reflections on Agreement-related Proposals

- Develop a logic model that connects goals, outcomes, and activities/outputs based on a theory of change.
- Ensure all goals and outcomes <u>benefit the people</u> of the watershed.
- Refine goals and outcomes to <u>adapt to the future condition</u> of the Bay watershed.
- <u>Consolidate/combine goals or outcomes</u> to improve focus, efficiency, and comprehensive planning.
- Refine outcomes in need of more specificity or depth, like Diversity, Land Conservation, and Public Access.
- <u>Refresh time horizons</u> to meet the Chesapeake Bay Total Maximum Daily Load, consider phased approach across the TMDL's 92 tidal segments, and use monitoring and modeling when tracking progress.
- **Consider <u>additional Signatories</u>: Agencies, NGOs, Local Governments, Tribes.
- **Consider <u>new outcomes</u>: soil health, regenerative agriculture, and scale adaptation.

****Stickiest proposals**

New, Amended, or Unchanged Agreement?

- The current Agreement, signed in 2014, was the result of a 5-year drafting process.
- Allows for **adaptive management** "at all levels of the Partnership to foster continuous improvement."
- "As the signatories identify new opportunities and concerns, Goals or Outcomes may be adopted or modified" (2014 CBWA, p. 3)
 - **Goals:** changes or additions to be approved by the Executive Council
 - **Outcomes:** changes or additions to be approved by the Principals' Staff Committee and will be open for public input before finalized; significant changes or additions will be raised to raised to the Executive Council for approval

New, Amended or Unchanged Agreement?

- *We're making progress* Our current Agreement is not fundamentally flawed or failing. We continue to make significant progress under its guidance.
- We're not pressing "pause" Major plans and investments are in place and should continue even as we discuss how to optimize our future performance.
- **Amendments could help close the gap** Findings by small groups & ERG suggest that some changes rise to the level of agreement refinements.
- **The Agreement was built for change** The Agreement can be amended and has successfully been amended.
 - 2020 PSC approved edits to three outcomes: *Diversity, Fish Passage, and Land Use Methods and Metrics*.
 - 2022 EC approved modifications to replace *citizen* with more accurate and inclusive descriptions: *public, individuals, community, youth, and everyone*.

2

Proposed Agreement Recommendation and Timeframe

By 2025 EC meeting (end of 2025):

- High-level updates to the 2014 Watershed Agreement
 - Focus on Vision, Preamble, Principles, Goals, Management Strategies sections
- Reaffirm commitment to Partnership & continued collaboration

By 2026 EC meeting (end of 2026):

- Reaffirm, refine, refresh Outcomes
- Commit to the renewed Partnership

Chesapeake Bay Commission's Guidance on Path Forward

EAKE

MM

1980

As an Executive Council Member:

- What is the best path forward: a new Agreement, a phased update of the existing Agreement, or no changes beyond new deadlines?
- What are the core elements or values of a healthy watershed that are critical to deliver as a Partnership?

As State Decision-makers:

• How can we maintain momentum over the next 2-3 years as we continue planning for beyond 2025?

Thank you!

Anna Killius akillius@chesbay.us www.chesbay.us

